In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, Chandigarh.

C.W.P.No. 6447 of 2006

Date of Decision: 13.11.2006

Dines Kumar & Others …Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana & Others ...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.KUMAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

Present: Mr.G.P.Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Harish Rathee, Sr.DAG Haryana for the respondents

JUDGMENT

M.M.KUMAR, J.(ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the advertisement dated 8.2.2006 (Annexure P1) advertising 23 posts of Signallers has been withdrawn and, therefore, the Writ Petition does not survive for adjudication. However, the State counsel expresses his ignorance about the afore-mentioned facts.

In view of the above, we dismiss the petition as having been rendered infructuous with a liberty to file a fresh one, if there

is any deficiency in the statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

(M.M.KUMAR)
Judge

November 13, 2006 **(M.M.S.BEDI)**
dkb **Judge**